## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Kimberly Edelstein,						
	Plaint	iff,				
	٧.		Case No. 1:17cv305			
Judge Greg Stephens,		ens,	Judge Michael R. Barrett			
	Defer	dant.				
INTERROGATORY ONE - FIRST AMENDMENT						
YOU MUST UNANIMOUSLY AGREE ON THE ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:						
1.	Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence Defendant violated					
the First Amendment's Free Exercise clause under 42 U.S.C. § 1983?						
		Yes No _				
If your answer to Question 1 is Yes, please proceed to Question 2. If you answer						
to Question 1 is No, please stop here and sign below.						
2.	What amounts of damages, if any, did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance					
of the evidence were directly caused to her by Defendant's decision to terminate her						
employment?						
	a)	back pay	\$ 835,000			
	b)	front pay	\$			
	c)	compensatory damages	\$ <u>250,000</u>			
Please proceed to Question 3.						

3.

Did Defendant prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff failed

to mitigate her damages?						
Yes No						
If your answer to Question 3 is Yes, please proceed to answer Question 4. If your						
answer to Question 3 is No, please proceed to answer Question 5.						
4. By what amount did Defendant prove by a preponderance of the evidence						
that your award of damages to Plaintiff should be reduced?						
\$						
Please proceed to answer Question 5.						
5. What amount of money, if any, do you award to Plaintiff as punitive						
damages against Defendant for conduct which was malicious or in reckless disregard of						
Plaintiff's rights as defined in the jury instructions?						
\$ 35,000.00						
Our answers to the foregoing Interrogatories are entered unanimously.						
Date: 02/03/2023						

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Kimberly Ed	elstein,					
	Plaint	iff,				
	V.		Case No. 1:17cv305			
Judge Greg	Stephe	ens,	Judge Michael R. Barrett			
	Defer	dant.				
<u>IN </u>	ERRO	GATORY TWO - EQUAL PROTE	ECTION AND OHIO LAW			
YOU MUST UNANIMOUSLY AGREE ON THE ANSWERS TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:						
1.	Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence Defendant violated					
the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and						
Ohio Revised Code § 4112.02?						
		Yes No				
If your answer to Question 1 is Yes, please proceed to Question 2. If you answer						
to Question	1 is N	o, please stop here and sign bel	ow.			
2.	What amounts of damages, if any, did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance					
of the evide	nce we	re directly caused to her by Defe	endant's decision to terminate her			
employment	?					
	a)	back pay	\$			
	b)	front pay	\$			
	c)	compensatory damages	\$			
Please proc	eed to	Question 3				

3. Did Defendant prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff failed						
to mitigate her damages?						
Yes No						
If your answer to Question 3 is Yes, please proceed to answer Question 4. If your						
answer to Question 3 is No, please proceed to answer Question 5.						
4. By what amount did Defendant prove by a preponderance of the evidence						
that your award of damages to Plaintiff should be reduced?						
\$						
Please proceed to answer Question 5.						
5. What amount of money, if any, do you award to Plaintiff as punitive						
damages against Defendant for conduct which was malicious or in reckless disregard of						
Plaintiff's rights as defined in the jury instructions?						
\$						
Our answers to the foregoing Interrogatories are entered unanimously.						

Date: <u>02/03/2023</u>

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Kimberly Edelstein,							
Pla	aintiff,						
٧.		Case No. 1:17cv305					
Judge Greg Stephens,		Judge Michael R. Barrett					
De	efendant.						
GENERAL VERDICT FORM							
On Plaintiff's claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for alleged violation of the First Amendment's Free Exercise clause against Defendant, we find in favor of:							
P	Plaintiff OR De	fendant					
On Plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for alleged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause and religious discrimination under Ohio Revised Code § 4112.02 against Defendant, we find in favor of:							
P	Plaintiff OR De	fendant					
Executed in Cincinnati, Ohio, on this <u>63</u> day of February, 2023.							